

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,014 06/16/2005		06/16/2005	David Yen-Lung Chung	2003B133D	7413
23455	7590	06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
EXXONM 5200 BAYV		HEMICAL COMP	RABAGO, ROBERTO		
P.O. BOX 2		.VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BAYTOWN	I, TX 77	7522-2149		1713	
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1./					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4					
	10/539,014	CHUNG ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Roberto Rábago	1713						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	iress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) a, cause the application to becom	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> is/are pending in the application		•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> is/are rejected.								
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o	bjected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· ·	- · · · · · ·						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P1	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	een received in this National S	Stage					
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies	not received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	:						

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

All of the claims are defined in part by a required formula (see claim 1).

However, the scope of polymers defined by this formula is indefinite in view of the following.

- (a) The formula includes the requirement that "m" be less than 38, and the specification has stated that "The best fit of this equation yields the value of m for copolymerization of the isoolefin and p-alkylstyrene in each diluent" (paragraph [0090]. However, if m is determined over a range of conditions in each diluent, it would appear determining m for an individual polymer is not possible. The claims are indefinite because one of ordinary skill in the art could not determine whether a particular polymer falls within the scope of those claimed.
- (b) The meaning of "m" is further indefinite in that the manner in which the "best fit" is determined is ambiguous. Specifically, the specification has stated that "The best fit of this equation yields the value of m for copolymerization of the isoolefin and p-

Application/Control Number: 10/539,014

provide for an unambiguous determination of m.

Art Unit: 1713

alkylstyrene in each diluent" (paragraph [0090]). The formula represents a non-linear function, and the placement of the "best fit" line will depend entirely on the number of points and the breadth of mole ratios measured. Since the claims are silent on all parameters required in determination of a best fit, the result would be arbitrary over a substantial range of values. Therefore, the claims are indefinite because they do not

The claims set forth a polymer requiring the highly obscure property "m", yet the claimed range is very close to polymers which appear to correspond to those made using prior art procedures (see Table 26). Furthermore, all of the claims rely on the formula of claim 1 as the central feature. Accordingly, a proper review of the prior art (including those reference cited on the IDS) will be deferred until the above issues have been clarified.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/539,014

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1713

RR

June 25, 2006